

Electroweak scale right-handed neutrinos

P. Q. Hung

University of Virginia

Fermilab, 2007

Plan of Talk

- Highlights of the Seesaw Mechanism: $\frac{m_D^2}{M_R}$, M_R with $M_R \gg m_D$.
- Implications of mirror fermions: Why M_R can be of the order of the electroweak scale $\Lambda_{EW} = 246 \text{ GeV}$, i.e. $M_Z/2 < M_R < \Lambda_{EW}$.
- A probe of electroweak-scale neutrinos \Rightarrow a probe of the electroweak symmetry breaking sector.

- Implications of electroweak scale ν_R 's: Lepton-number violating processes at electroweak scale energies; production ν_R 's at colliders and their decays into like-sign dileptons..
- Further implications of the model: the role of mirror fermions in $\mu \rightarrow e \gamma$ e.g.
- Conclusions

Seesaw Mechanism

The Seesaw mechanism in a nutshell:

- In “standard scenarios”, ν_R 's are **SM singlets** (sterile) \Rightarrow Implications on the **sizes** of the Dirac and Majorana masses.

- Dirac mass term:

$$\mathcal{L}_D = g_L \bar{l}_L \phi \nu_R + H.c.$$

$l_L = (\nu_L, e_L)$ and $\phi = (\phi^0, \phi^-)$ are $SU(2)_L$ doublets.

$$\langle \phi \rangle = (\Lambda_{EW}/\sqrt{2}, 0) \text{ with } \Lambda_{EW} \approx 246 \text{ GeV} \Rightarrow m_D = g_L \Lambda_{EW}/\sqrt{2}$$

\Rightarrow Dirac mass \propto Electroweak scale Λ_{EW} .

- Majorana mass term:

$$\mathcal{L}_M = M_R \nu_R^T \sigma_2 \nu_R$$

It violates lepton number by two units i.e. $\Delta L = 2$.

- Seesaw:

For $M_R \gg m_D \Rightarrow$ One small eigenvalue: $-\frac{m_D^2}{M_R}$ and one large: M_R .

Since $m_D \propto \Lambda_{EW}$, a light neutrino mass of $O(< 1 \text{ eV})$ implies $M_R \gg \Lambda_{EW}$. Typically, $M_R \sim 10^{13} \text{ GeV}$.

- Implications:

- Neutrinos are Majorana particles i.e. $\nu = \nu^c$.

- Tests of Majorana nature of ν ?

- * Neutrinoless double beta decay ($\Delta L = 2$): $\langle m_{\beta\beta} \rangle = [\sum |U_{ei}|^2 m_i^2]^{1/2} < 0.35 \text{ eV}$. Much more to be done!

- This only probes the **light** neutrino sector.

- Where else** can one **probe** the Majorana nature of the neutrinos?

- * $\Delta L = 2$ processes might be probed at colliders (see e.g. **Han and Zhang**) if the sterile ν is light enough i.e. 10-400 GeV (But why so light? Fine tuning? **Kersten and Smirnov**). (They only appear in intermediate states

and cannot be directly produced, being sterile.) See also works by [de Gouvea](#) and collaborators.

- In the “standard” seesaw scenarios, one **cannot directly** probe the heavy (practically right-handed) neutrino sector because of: (1) **sterility** and (2) $M_R \gg \Lambda_{EW}$.
- Since the only thing we more or less “know” is that the **light** neutrino masses are less than 1 eV or so (and of course part of the leptonic mixing matrix), is it possible to construct a model in which $M_R \leq \Lambda_{EW}$? Can ν_R be **non-sterile** i.e. they can interact directly with **W** and **Z**?

If so...

Advantages: One can directly produce ν_R 's at colliders and see if they exist or not. One can test the see-saw mechanism and the Majorana nature of neutrinos by looking at the **heavy** sector directly.

The role of mirror fermions: Introduction of heavy mirror fermions which could also be tested either directly by collider searches or indirectly in e.g. $\mu \rightarrow e \gamma$.

A Model of Non-sterile Electroweak scale ν_R 's

(hep-ph/0612004, P.L.B**649**, 275 (2007))

Objective: Construct a model in which ν_R 's are **not** sterile i.e. **non-singlet** under $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$, and have a “**low**” mass of $O(\Lambda_{EW})$.

Constraints:

- A non-sterile ν_R will couple to the Z boson \Rightarrow Strong constraint from the Z width!

- A Majorana bilinear $\nu_R^T \sigma_2 \nu_R$ will transform **non-trivially** under $SU(2)_L \Rightarrow$ Strong constraint on the $SU(2)_L$ Higgs field which couples to that bilinear and which develops a non-zero vacuum expectation value, in particular one has to preserve the successful relation $M_W = M_Z \cos \theta_W$ ($\rho = 1$)!

Possibilities: Mirror Fermions

- Simplest possibility: ν_R as part of a **doublet** of $SU(2)_L$. Who is the partner? A right-handed **mirror** charged lepton.
- $SU(2)_L$ doublets:

$$\text{SM: } l_L = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L \\ e_L \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Mirror: } l_R^M = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_R \\ e_R^M \end{pmatrix}$$

(Notice this is different from $l_R^c = i\sigma_2 l_L^*$)

$e_R^M \neq e_R$ because neutral current experiments force e_R to be an $SU(2)_L$ singlet.

- $SU(2)_L$ singlets:

SM: e_R

Mirror: e_L^M

- In addition to heavy mirror leptons, the model also contains heavy mirror quarks. It is amusing to note that **anomaly cancellation** can be done between SM fermions and their mirror counterparts. One does not need the usual cancellation between quarks and leptons. Charge quantization: sign of GUT?
- Also the requirement of the vanishing of the non-perturbative Witten anomaly for $SU(2)_L \Rightarrow$ **Even number of doublets** can be accomplished with just leptons or just quarks!

Mass terms for neutrinos: (other charged fermions receive masses by coupling the SM Higgs doublet.)

- Lepton-number conserving Dirac mass:

It is proportional to the bilinear $\bar{l}_L l_R^M$ which could be an $SU(2)_L$ singlet or triplet. One also has (for the charged leptons) the bilinear involving $SU(2)_L$ singlets, $\bar{l}_L^M l_R$ (not relevant for neutrinos). \Rightarrow Simplest possibility: Coupling to a singlet Higgs field

$$\mathcal{L}_S = g_{Sl} \bar{l}_L \phi_S l_R^M + g'_{Sl} \bar{l}_L^M \phi_S l_R + H.c.$$

$\langle \phi_S \rangle = v_S \Rightarrow m_D = g_{Sl} v_S \Rightarrow$ Unrelated to the electroweak scale.

Since neutrino masses are so different from their charged counterparts, why should the Dirac masses be related to the electroweak scale anyway!

- Lepton-number violating Majorana mass:

The relevant bilinear is $l_R^{M,T} \sigma_2 l_R^M$. This **cannot** couple to a singlet Higgs field since its VEV would break charge conservation \Rightarrow Only option: an $SU(2)_L$ **triplet** Higgs $\tilde{\chi} = (3, Y/2 = 1)$.

$$\tilde{\chi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\chi} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \chi^+ & \chi^{++} \\ \chi^0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \chi^+ \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_M = g_M l_R^{M,T} \sigma_2 \tau_2 \tilde{\chi} l_R^M$$

$$\langle \chi^0 \rangle = v_M \Rightarrow M_R = g_M v_M$$

A $U(1)_M$ global symmetry is imposed to avoid a Majorana mass term for the L-H neutrinos at the lowest order. Other options are possible.

This VEV also **breaks** $SU(2)_L$!

The successful relation $M_W = M_Z \cos \theta_W$ ($\rho = 1$) which relies primarily on $SU(2)_L$ Higgs fields being **doublets** would be **spoiled** unless $v_M \ll \Lambda_{EW}$. Trouble!!

With just $\tilde{\chi}$, $\rho = 1/2$. ρ can be significantly different from 1 at tree-level when **both** doublet and triplet with comparable V.E.V's are present!

Elegant solution (Chanowitz and Golden, Georgi and Machacek):

$\rho \approx 1$ is a manifestation of an approximate **custodial** global $SU(2)$ symmetry of the Higgs potential. (Recall: In the SM with Higgs doublets, the W mass term is $\frac{1}{2}M_W^2 \vec{W}_\mu \vec{W}^\mu$ with $M_W^2 = \frac{1}{4}g^2 v^2$,

reflecting that custodial symmetry.) To maintain that **custodial symmetry**, one can add an additional Higgs triplet $\xi = (3, Y/2 = 0)$ which can be grouped with $\tilde{\chi} = (3, Y/2 = 1)$ to form

$$\chi = \begin{pmatrix} \chi^0 & \xi^+ & \chi^{++} \\ \chi^- & \xi^0 & \chi^+ \\ \chi^{--} & \xi^- & \chi^{0*} \end{pmatrix}$$

\Rightarrow Global $SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R$ symmetry of the Higgs potential with χ being $(3, 3)$ of that global symmetry. The complex Higgs doublet belong to a $(2, 2)$ representation: $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^0 & -\phi^+ \\ \phi^- & \phi^{0,*} \end{pmatrix}$

With

$$\langle \chi \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} v_M & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & v_M & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & v_M \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\langle \Phi \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} v_2 & 0 \\ 0 & v_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

breaking global $SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R$ down to a custodial $SU(2)$ symmetry with $M_W = gv/2$ and $M_Z = M_W/\cos\theta_W$, where $v = \sqrt{v_2^2 + 8v_M^2}$.

$\Rightarrow \rho = 1$ even if $v_M \sim \Lambda_{EW}$!!

$\Rightarrow M_R \sim O(\Lambda_{EW})$!

(The potential is such that the $U(1)_M$ symmetry is broken explicitly so that there are no NG bosons.)

Two questions:

- How low can M_R be?

Answer: $M_Z/2$ from the constraint of the Z width.

$$\Rightarrow M_Z/2 < M_R < \Lambda_{EW}$$

A rather “narrow” range!

- Actually, since $v = \sqrt{v_2^2 + 8v_M^2} \sim 246 \text{ GeV}$, this can have a quite interesting implication on the form of the quark mass

matrices themselves since the top quark mass is $\sim 171 \text{ GeV}$ and quarks couple only to the Higgs doublet. In fact, with $v_M > M_Z/2 \sim 46 \text{ GeV}$, the scale that appears in front of the Up-quark mass matrix, namely $g_U v_2/\sqrt{2}$ is constrained such that, for $g_U \sim O(1)$, $v_2/\sqrt{2} < 147 \text{ GeV} \Rightarrow$ the mass matrix of the Up-quark sector might be of the almost democratic type for example. This also would imply that mirror fermions cannot be too heavy.

- What about m_D or rather the VEV v_S of the singlet Higgs field?

Answer: With the light neutrino mass $m_\nu \leq 1 \text{ eV}$ and $M_R \sim O(\Lambda_{EW}) \Rightarrow m_D \sim 10^5 \text{ eV} \Rightarrow v_S \sim 10^5 \text{ eV}$ if we assume $g_{Sl} \sim O(1)$ or e.g. $v_S \sim 10^8 \text{ eV}$ if $g_{Sl} \sim 10^{-3}$.

(Possible cosmological implications of a singlet scalar field e.g. the possibility of the link between Mass-Varying Neutrinos (MaVans) and Dark Energy: Hung; Gu, Wang and Zhang; Fardon, Nelson and Weiner. Also, constraints from CMB? Other astrophysical implications?)

- Some kind of “see-saw” among the charged leptons and their mirror counterparts as well as in the quark sector. However, the mass eigenvalues are, e.g. the charged leptons:

$$\tilde{m}_l = m_l - \frac{m_D^2}{m_{lM} - m_l} \sim m_l$$

$$\tilde{m}_{lM} = m_{lM} - \frac{m_D^2}{m_{lM} - m_l} \sim m_{lM}$$

because $m_D \ll m_{lM} - m_l \Rightarrow$ Practically impossible to detect SM and mirror mixing among the charged sectors.

- Last but not least: It is possible to avoid the imposition of the $U(1)_M$ global symmetry. The see-saw mechanism will look however very different from the above \Rightarrow Interesting implications concerning the see-saw matrix \Rightarrow Possibility of dynamical electroweak symmetry breaking. [Work in preparation.](#)

Phenomenology of Electroweak Scale ν_R 's

Since we are dealing with **Majorana neutrinos** with electroweak scale masses, it is not surprising that we should expect lepton-number violating processes at electroweak scale energies. (For **singlet ν_R 's**, the issue is much more complex, involving delicate cancellations to keep the light neutrinos light.) In particular, we should be able to produce ν_R 's and observe their decays at colliders (LHC, etc...) \Rightarrow Characteristic signatures: **like-sign dilepton events** \Rightarrow A high-energy equivalent of neutrinoless double beta decay. That could be the **smoking gun** for Majorana neutrinos!

- From $l_R^M = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_R \\ e_R^M \end{pmatrix}$, ν_R 's interact with the Z and W bosons!
They are **not** sterile any more.

Recall $M_Z/2 < M_R < \Lambda_{EW}$.

- Production of ν_R 's:

$$q + \bar{q} \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \nu_R + \nu_R$$

and e.g.

$$u + \bar{d} \rightarrow W^+ \rightarrow \nu_R + l_R^{M,+}$$

– ν_R 's are Majorana and can have transitions $\nu_R \rightarrow l_R^{M,\mp} + W^\pm$.

– A heavier ν_R can decay into a lighter l_R^M and

$\nu_R + \nu_R \rightarrow l_R^{M,\mp} + l_R^{M,\mp} + W^\pm + W^\pm \rightarrow l_L^\mp + l_L^\mp + W^\pm + W^\pm + \phi_S + \phi_S$, where ϕ_S would be missing energy.

$\nu_R + l_R^{M,+} \rightarrow l_R^{M,+} + l_R^{M,+} + W^- \rightarrow l_L^+ + l_L^+ + W^- + \phi_S + \phi_S$,

Interesting **like-sign** dilepton events! One can look for **like-sign dimuons** for example.

Since this involves missing energies \Rightarrow Careful with **background**! For example one of such background could be a production of $W^\pm W^\pm W^\mp W^\mp$ with 2 like-sign W's decaying into a charged lepton plus a neutrino ("missing energy").

But...This is of $O(\alpha_W^2)$ in amplitude smaller than the above process. Another background: $H + W \rightarrow WWW$. In addition, depending on the lifetime of the mirror leptons, the SM leptons appear at a displaced vertex.

- Lepton-number violating process with like-sign dileptons can also occur with ν_R 's in the intermediate state (from $W^\pm W^\pm \rightarrow l_L^\pm + l_L^\pm$) but that involves very small mixing angles of the order $\frac{m_\nu}{M_R}$.
- Detailed phenomenological analyses are in preparation: SM background, event reconstructions, etc...

Other phenomenological consequences

- Triplet Higgs scalars:
 - Doubly charged scalars in $\tilde{\chi}$!
 - $\tilde{\chi}$ can be produced at colliders.
 - $\tilde{\chi}$ couples to W and Z and to right-handed neutrinos and mirror charged leptons which subsequently decay into SM leptons.
 - ξ does not couple to fermions but to W and Z. Can look for them through W and Z.

- Mirror fermions:

The charged mirror fermions decay into SM charged fermions plus (missing energy) ϕ_S . The decay length will depend primarily on the coupling g_{Sl} !

- Singlet scalar ϕ_S :

ϕ_S can be as light as few hundreds keV's. Possible cosmological and astrophysical implications? e.g. $\phi_S + \phi_S^* \rightarrow l^+ + l^-$ with a charged mirror lepton in the t-channel.

- LFV processes such as $\mu \rightarrow e \gamma$ are being investigated.

For $\mu \rightarrow e \gamma$, we make the following observations:

– Dominant contribution from the couplings:

Doublets: $g_{Sl} \bar{E}_L^0 E_R^{0,M} \phi_S + H.c.$, with $E_L^0 = (e, \mu, \tau)_L^0$ and $E_R^{0,M} = (e^M, \mu^M, \tau^M)_R^0$.

Singlets: $g_{Sl} \bar{E}_R^0 E_L^{0,M} \phi_S + H.c.$, with $E_R^0 = (e, \mu, \tau)_R^0$ and $E_L^{0,M} = (e^M, \mu^M, \tau^M)_L^0$.

– In terms of mass eigenstates:

$$E_L^0 = U_L^l E_L$$

$$E_R^{0,M} = U_R^M E_R^M$$

$$E_R^0 = U_R^l E_R$$

$$E_L^{0,M} = U_L^M E_L^M$$

$$\Rightarrow g_{Sl} \bar{E}_L U_{lM,D} E_R^M \phi_S + H.c.$$

Similarly:

$$g_{Sl} \bar{E}_R U_{lM,S} E_L^M \phi_S + H.c.$$

$$U_{lM,D} = U_L^{l,\dagger} U_R^M$$

$$U_{lM,S} = U_R^{l,\dagger} U_L^M$$

- One loop contribution to $\mu \rightarrow e \gamma$ with ϕ_S and $E_{R,L}^M$ propagating in the loop.
- Amplitudes of decay rate depends on
 - 1) $\sum_i \frac{U_{\mu i,D} U_{ei,D}^*}{m_i}$ with m_i the masses of the mirror charged leptons.
 - 2) $\sum_i \frac{U_{\mu i,S} U_{ei,S}^*}{m_i}$.
 - 3) g_{Sl}^2 .
- The decay rate **vanishes** if the mirror charged leptons are

degenerate $m_i = m$ since then $\sum_i U_{\mu i, (D,S)} U_{ei, (D,S)}^* = 0$ by unitarity \Rightarrow the branching ratio for $\mu \rightarrow e \gamma$ will depend on the mirror charged lepton mass differences besides the mixing angles and g_{Sl}^2 : $B(\mu \rightarrow e \gamma) \sim 10^{-3} \frac{M_W^4}{m^4} g_{Sl}^4 (|\epsilon_2 U_{\mu 2, D} U_{e 2, D}^* + \epsilon_3 U_{\mu 3, D} U_{e 3, D}^*|^2 + |\epsilon_2 U_{\mu 2, S} U_{e 2, S}^* + \epsilon_3 U_{\mu 3, S} U_{e 3, S}^*|^2) / m_\mu^2$, where $m_1 = m$; $m_2 = m + \epsilon_2$; $m_3 = m + \epsilon_3$.

- $B(\mu \rightarrow e \gamma)$ can be reachable and can provide interesting glimpses on e.g. the product of the matrices that diagonalize the SM charged lepton mass matrix and that of the mirror charged leptons.
- Connection between low energy : $\mu \rightarrow e \gamma$ and high energy: the decay length of the charged mirror lepton, through the coupling g_{Sl} .

- The mass splitting between different mirror generations cannot be large. Constraint from $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$: They are close in mass \Rightarrow Interesting implications concerning their searches!
- Last but not least: The addition of mirror generations to the **S parameter** can be offset by a negative contribution from the triplet scalar sector.

The extra Higgses and heavy mirror fermions could, in principle, be searched for at future colliders: LHC, ILC, etc...

Conclusions

- It is possible to have a seesaw mechanism in which the Majorana mass of the right-handed neutrinos can be of the order of the electroweak scale. There is **no** reason why it should be close to some GUT scale.
- The lepton-number violating processes coming from the “heavy” non-sterile ν_R 's can now be accessible **experimentally** at colliders!

- Rich spectrum of particles which can be tested in a not-too-distant future.
- Interesting implications concerning $\mu \rightarrow e \gamma$.